

Stay out of Court.

Take action and keep clear of the courts - a Sovereign Nation of Shetland publication.

This e-Book is for those who want to take action against a corrupt regime and to help ensure that you stay out of the clutches of the police and courts of a powerful and corrupt system while going about your daily business. It will be presumed that you have no bad intent - this is not a recipe for evading responsibility. Quite the opposite in fact - this is where your responsibility begins. There is no charge for this information.

I have no legal training except the years I have spent since 2004 going into court with no legal representation, trying to establish how the UK and Scottish governments have authority in Shetland. Most of what I have discovered is specific to Shetland, but a good deal is of general application. I have been helped by many good people along the way, but I have preferred to plough my own furrow - especially when information relating to Scotland and especially Shetland is so scarce. The only way I have been able to get the information has been to go to court and to study the law books. I don't claim to have all the answers, but I've learned a bit. I don't stop learning and I don't go away. I'm an ordinary man, but I walk the walk. When I first went into court, my hands shook so much I couldn't hold a glass of water. Now it's a game and I play by my rules.

Obviously, my limited experience comes from Scottish and English law. Legislation in other countries will be different, but these are fundamental concepts, which generally transcend legislation.

Since the courts are where the State exercises its power, that's where I had to go to get the answers I needed. I could not take anyone to court because I don't recognise the courts. I had to ignore their rules to the point where they had to take me to court. This is an ongoing process. I'm not a quick thinker in a face to face situation, but I can write, so I've tended to be in court more than a quick thinking whizz-kid, but the extra court time has given me extra learning time. I now have the tools to prevent being taken to court against my will.

My journey started in Shetland, so most of the early activity was solely about Shetland, trying to discover how Scotland and the UK derive their authority here. Latterly the focus has widened as I began to realise how the stuff I'd found could have broader application. Shetland is allegedly part of Scotland. (See my book *Stolen Isles* to learn why that's not the case). Many people do not realise that Scots law is not the same as English law. There are fundamental differences. As an Englishman, that gave me some problems to start with because there is very little information online, but it forced me to do my own research. It was a long and painful journey, but it drew me to information that has wider implications.

Nothing I say may be taken as legal advice. I'm just telling you what has worked for me. You must do your own research. Hopefully I'll be able to help you avoid some of the mistakes I made. I've always believed in the benefit of ignorance - if you don't know what you can't do, there's no limit to what you can.

I always believed there must be a simple answer and I was right. The answer is so simple, it seems unbelievable. We have been conned into living a life of fantasy. What you are about to read may well shake your world. It's not new, but this may shed a different light.

"If you tell a lie big enough and keep repeating it, people will eventually come to believe it". That is the most well-known part of Joseph Goebbels' quote, but what followed is often missed:

"The lie can be maintained only for such time as the State can shield the people from the political, economic, and/or military consequences of the lie. It thus becomes vitally important for the State to **use all of its powers to repress dissent**, for the truth is the mortal enemy of the lie, and thus by extension, **the truth is the greatest enemy of the State.**" (Emphasis added).

I came to Shetland in 2001 and found it a magic place. Although allegedly a county of Scotland, there was nothing Scottish about it - no tartan, no shortbread, no kilts, none of the things traditionally associated with Scotland. What I did find was a strong Nordic flavour. There were signs in shop windows in an unintelligible language. There was something called 'Up Helly Aa', which meant nothing to me at the time, but turned out to be a famous fire festival. Most of all I found the people warm and welcoming, but also reticent and seemingly unwilling to confront authority. As I began to learn some of the history, it became clear that something was not right in Shetland. A once proud people, secure in their own sovereignty, had been reduced to little more than serfs in the 15th and 16th centuries, to the point where, if there was an argument with the laird or the minister,

they could be thrown out of theircroft. Perhaps that reluctance to challenge authority was a residue of those times?

As my knowledge increased I became more and more incensed about how Shetland people had been treated, but also realised that the basis for Scottish and UK authority here may be somewhat fragile. The more I looked into it, the more I became convinced that a giant scam had taken place at the highest level. I decided to test the water.

My first step was stopping payment of tax on the company I had at the time. People warned me never to mess with HMRC - “they’ll just come and break down your door” I was told. After the usual threatening letters, a man turned up at the door with court papers. I said “I’m perfectly willing to pay as soon as you show me how Shetland is part of Scotland”. He went away and nothing more was heard. Curious. I needed to press a little harder, but what to do? The State exerts its authority through the police and the courts, so this was where I needed to be. I needed to break the ‘law’ to test the jurisdiction of the courts.

It proved remarkably difficult to get arrested. I built a small house on my island of Forvik on the West side of Shetland.



No planning permission, but no action from the local authority.

I put an old Land Rover on the road with my own number plate, Forvik tax disc and Forvik automobile competence card in place of UK driving licence. The police seized it and destroyed it, but brought no charges. What do I have to do? These are the kind of ‘offences’ that routinely bring a conviction in court.

I was offered two Mercedes vans. I painted the first one up as the Forvik Consular Vehicle with number plates, tax disc and licence as before. This one was again seized and destroyed - but no charges. I painted the second one as Forvik Consular Vehicle No. 2, but this time locked myself in when the police stopped me.



They had to break in and drag me out and at last I had my court case - the first of many. I knew I was on the right track when the Crown produced a **magazine article** as proof of its authority in Shetland! They convicted me all the same and the conviction was confirmed at appeal by three law lords. **The whole authority of Scotland and the UK rested on a magazine article!** That was just the beginning of the learning curve. I was beginning to learn the rules of the game:

1. Rule number 1: ignore the rules.
2. Rule number 2: refer to Rule number 1.

From those early days, things escalated. I stood for parliament (on the proviso that, if elected I had no intention of going to Westminster to pledge allegiance to a foreign occupying power). It is a crime not to submit a record of expenses after the election. I broke the ‘law’ by not doing so. What should have been an open and shut case took over 3 years and 21 hearings as the court could not get jurisdiction. In their desperation I was eventually

found guilty and fined £500. I said I would pay the fine as soon as I had proof that Shetland is part of Scotland, but they'd had enough by then and nothing more was heard. I have never paid any court fine.

It seemed to me that if all these people were operating illegally, it was not enough just to get off their hook - they needed to be brought to account. I thought they would fight tooth and nail and I was right. I developed a series of documents which gets them to agree their liability. The last is a statutory demand which, if ignored, give the right to petition the court for their bankruptcy. Normally directed at the head of the company, it is a powerful weapon. The CEO of Ofgem, the UK electricity regulator received one. In order to evade it, Ofgem had to take me to the High Court in London to have it set aside (annulled). Then the dirty tricks came out - they lied in court, the judge lied in his order, there were other fundamental defects in the process - then, when I asked for a transcript, they 'lost' the tape!

More lately, the police seized another car I was rather fond of, a 20 year old Toyota RAV4 with number plate SOV SHET 1. This time, after 5 months of pressure, the prosecutor wrote saying he was not going to prosecute. At last, a positive result. I still need to be compensated, so watch this space.

A supporter gave me another car, on which I put number plate SOV SHET 2, no UK tax and I still have no UK driving licence:



Despite some close encounters, the police seem to be actively avoiding engagement - not surprising really if they are aware they will not be backed up in the court. Read on to find out why.

You can see I don't drive a fancy car and we don't spend money on flashy websites. That's for those who are in it for the money. We're in it for the **results**. I have long said that change, when it happens, will come at such a speed, we will all be taken by surprise. Here it comes!

This is what you need to understand to stay out of their clutches:

The lie we have been told is so huge, it's difficult to comprehend, but it's also very simple. It's this: we all have two personalities - the living man or woman and a fictional entity with which we interact with the State. The lie we are told is that they are the same thing. We are not even supposed to know there are two entities, but the whole of society as we know it relies on this split. The fictional entity is often called the "strawman", but I will use the term "legal fiction person", or simply "person" here. It has no life of its own, but is **always** used in legal situations.

When we are born, the State sets up a 'person' with a name having the same letters and spaces as the name we were given at birth. There are two dates on your birth certificate - the date of birth and the date of registration. The second one is the date the 'person' was registered. The person is the property of the State and is the means by which we interact with the State. It is usually written in all-capitals and it appears on all State documentation connected with us. If we have any dispute with the State, it is the one they **must** use. What it actually is is shrouded in mystery. They don't want us to even know about its existence, let alone what it is, but we can get some clues.

The big lie, the 'person':

We assume that such a commonly used word as 'person' could only have one meaning, but it's a dangerous word and the biggest lie hidden in plain sight. We tend to think the meaning of the word 'person' encompasses any man, woman or child and that's what the State would like us to think, but here's a definition from a legal dictionary:

"Persons" are of two kinds, natural and artificial. A natural person is a human being. Artificial persons include a collection or succession of natural persons forming a corporation; a collection of property to which the law attributes the capacity of having rights and duties.

Who would have thought that a corporation could be a person?

As long as we're good boys and girls and have no dispute with the State, it's fine to believe that we're all 'natural persons' - men and women. However, if you find yourself in court, there is only definition - if you know where to find it. Schedule 1 of The Interpretation Act 1978 says:

““Person” includes a body of persons corporate or unincorporate”. This definition cannot apply to the natural living man or woman. It can only apply to the legal fiction person. **I have not found a single piece of legislation, concerning the kind of situations we are likely to find ourselves in, that applies to men and women.** The legislation we are concerned with applies exclusively to 'persons'. Suddenly the whole scam is exposed. What if the State only has authority over 'persons'? What if they've conned and conditioned us into thinking we're not men and women, but 'persons'? It's such an elegantly simple trick, but that's exactly what they've done.

This where Scots law comes in. The main legal reference book is *The Laws of Scotland, Stair Memorial Encyclopaedia*. It's generally known as 'Stair'. It comprises 26 (I think) hefty volumes and costs around £17,000. Fortunately there's one in our local library. I was interested to know how the court gets its authority. The first paragraph of Volume 4 gives us the answer:

“The authority of the court derives from the sovereign power”.

That's fine, so what exactly is 'the sovereign power'? Volume 18 gives the answer at paragraph 42 in rather convoluted language:

“The Crown's sovereignty over Scotland and its *dominium eminens*, its ultimate tenurial superiority, were (and are) the same thing, were identical concepts”

In simple terms, this means that sovereignty is the same as ownership. If this is the definition in Scotland, it must apply throughout the UK. It makes perfect sense when applied to the land, but I think the definition has been stealthily extended to the 'person'. If sovereignty is the same as ownership, clearly the Crown cannot own the men and women, but it can own the artificial, legal fiction 'person'. It is the '**persons**' over which it has ownership and hence sovereignty. It is the '**persons**' over which the courts have authority.

I'll let that sink in. The Crown has no sovereignty over you, the man or woman. To have sovereignty, it must have ownership. It cannot own the men and women - that would be slavery, but it can own the artificial legal fiction 'person'. The important thing is **that 'person' is not you**. Once you get your head around this, you're getting ready to play their game.

Before I give you some pointers about how to stay out of court, let's have a look at what happens if you don't.

On the other side is a dark world of legal fiction fantasy. Every man or woman acting in an official position in the court is acting as a legal fiction, not as the living man or woman. When men and women get up in the morning, everybody stands as equals, with none having automatic authority over another. When that man or woman enters their role of judge, prosecutor, clerk or police officer, they (and we) think they assume authority over us, In fact, that man or woman steps **down** because the wo/man can enter the role, but the role can never be given life to become the wo/man. So, that police officer, prosecutor or judge stands below the man or woman being prosecuted.

This is a bit embarrassing for them, but they have two means of dealing with it. Firstly, you are strongly advised to employ legal representation. Then they are safe because it is presumed that you do not have the capability to defend yourself and are incompetent. You need an officer of the court (the lawyer's first duty is to the court, not his client) to look after you. **Do not employ a solicitor, you relinquish your rights as a sovereign.**

The second strategy is the most insidious, but is brilliantly simple and works nearly every time. In Scottish courts the clerk will ask the question “Are you Joe Bloggs?”. Joe Bloggs, thinking the clerk is asking if that is his name, will say “Yes”. Gotcha! What the clerk is really asking is “Are you JOE BLOGGS, (the legal fiction that we own)?” Never say “Yes” if you are unfortunate enough to find yourself in court. It will almost certainly be in answer to a loaded question.

On a number of occasions I have been told by the judge that if I am not the all capitals STUART ALAN HILL on the court documents, the court has no business with me and I may go. This of course **proves** that there are two entities and the court only has business with the legal fiction. He then goes on to say that he will issue a warrant for the arrest of Stuart Alan Hill - the man standing in front of him! What he actually means is that he

will issue a warrant for the arrest of STUART ALAN HILL. The police duly turn up with the warrant and ignore, or are unaware of, the the fact that I'm not the legal fiction 'person'. Their computer system only records in capital letters, so they have effectively identified me as the legal fiction without my knowledge. When they take me back to court, I've been identified as the legal fiction, so the case can start. A neat trick.

As an aside, I've seen all sorts of explanations by various 'experts' about the point at which we submit to the court's jurisdiction. Is it when we get the summons? Is it when we open the summons? Is it when we enter the court building, or go forward when our name is called? Although all of these give an indication, from my observations it is the point at which we say "Yes". If we don't consent, it's game over for them. That's why they are so proud of saying that our policing is by consent. The consent we give is obtained by that trickery.

Asking that apparently innocent question and presuming they have got the wo/man to agree to act as the legal fiction, underpins the whole of the criminal 'justice' system. On top of that, all the legislation only applies to 'persons'.

The whole criminal justice system is a scam. This is not just in Shetland, or even the UK. It's worldwide.

So, having discovered that judges at every level are willing to break the law and ignore their own rules, what next? Stay away from them!

To do that you need a set of tools and a change of mindset.

Most important is the mindset. **Never forget** that theirs is a fantasy world, occupied by corporations, legal fictions and other dead entities. (So is normal life by the way that's why it's so easy for them to catch you out in their world. Their fantasy has been normalised to the point that we think it's real). The only way they can deal with you is by getting you to join in and enter their world. It's like a play. Everybody is acting a role. If you are not acting a role, you remain part of the audience and you can go home after the performance.

The biggest breakthrough for me came when I discovered the definition of sovereignty in Scots law. The word sovereign has been besmirched by authorities in some parts of the world, notably in America, where people have become wary about using it. Maybe that is because it is such a powerful concept. I have not been able to find a reliable definition other than in Scots law - and even there it is not presented in a way that joins all the dots. By *The Laws of Scotland*, The Crown's sovereignty over Scotland is the same as its ownership of the land - they are identical concepts. It goes on in a different section to say that the Crown's ownership of the land is allodial (absolute). The word 'allodial' jumped out at me because it's the nature of all land in Shetland. If allodial ownership is the same as sovereignty, then Shetland land owners are sovereign in their own right.

More importantly, everybody has land they own absolutely - their body. Nobody else can claim ownership of our bodies, but in court they **can** claim ownership of the legal fiction which has a name sounding like ours.

Another comfort came from my research. In Scots law we have Section 204 of the Criminal Procedure (Scotland) Act 1995, which prevents the court from giving a prison sentence to anyone who represents themselves, as long as they have not previously been in prison. We are not supposed to know about this rule. I was sent to prison three times before I found it, but now they know that I know the rule, they can't do it any more. That takes a lot of the stress off. I'm not sure if this rule has an equivalent in English law, so be careful.

Something else we have is Section 258 of the 1995 Act. This is normally used by the prosecution, but it enables either party to put forward uncontroversial evidence which, if not challenged by the other side within seven days, is deemed to be conclusively proved. When used by the prosecution, they stay within their conventional boundaries when deciding what is 'uncontroversial' and will not include anything that may rock the boat. As far as we are concerned, if something is true, it is automatically uncontroversial. I have put forward statements including the fact that 'there are two entities with a name sounding like mine', 'the legal fiction on the court papers cannot drive a car', 'Shetland is not part of Scotland' and 'the prosecutor, judge and others do not deny they are conspiring to operate false courts and fraud on the people of Shetland'. These are all true, but cannot be contested by the prosecutor without letting the cat out of the bag. The legislation states that if the statements are not challenged, they are deemed to be conclusively proved. They are all now conclusively proved in the court here. They can be used as precedent in other courts.

Many people advise distancing oneself from the legal fiction, but that makes no sense to me. The legal fiction is very useful to me and I would like to keep it. So, instead, I have claimed it as mine. I advertised that I have found this thing, I'm not sure who it belongs to and if anyone comes forward with a valid claim, I will surrender

it to them. If not, I'm claiming it as my property and a fee will be charged for its unauthorised use. I'm calling their bluff. Nobody can claim it without admitting that there are the two entities. My adverts were placed in the local paper and ran for three weeks. When another of our people tried to do the same thing, they were denied - another indication that we're on the right track. I've now set up a website for the purpose. You can see my entry here. You get your own entry when you join TSNS.

It has been proposed by some that there is a trust in operation in the court - there is an entity that belongs to one party and the other has beneficial use of it. Some people give advice on how to manipulate the trust in our favour, but I like to keep things simple. As soon as you claim ownership of the legal fiction, the trust collapses and we are back in real life. The man stands in the dock with his property (the legal fiction) in hand and the court has no jurisdiction.

Section 258 statements can only be used in Scotland, but a similar approach can be used in English Law. There is a process called Pre-Action Protocol in English law. It's normally used in civil cases, but there should be no reason not to apply it to a criminal case - it's a means for both sides to reach agreement as far as possible before going to court. Who could object to that?

We have in place Section 258 notices covering our people in all Scottish courts. We will soon be extending that to the rest of the UK.

What to do next: I am keen to get this information out as widely as possible.

To stay out of court, you must be prepared. The game starts as soon as the State makes a move against you. If you do not prepare, they will have you in court and you will very likely lose. The process starts with:

1. Join TSNS (as a Friend if you live outside Shetland). If you wish, a donation will be gratefully received and will help our work to free Shetland of its shackles and get this message out to the rest of the world.

As a member you will have immediate access to a whole lot of resources to keep you out of court and speed you on to the world of abundance and joy waiting for those who are willing to stand up and opt out of this corrupt system. This includes:

2. A certificate of membership.
3. A Claim of Allodial Ownership of the legal fiction and advertise it at www.weoptout.org. This is built into the application form so it happens automatically when you join.
4. A Declaration of your sovereignty. (Also done on the application form).
5. Letters to notify your local police, court and anybody else affected, to give them the opportunity to come forward with a superior claim. (I can guarantee they won't, because if they do, they have to admit the existence of the legal fiction, which they cannot do without exposing the whole scam).
6. A laminated Allodial Claim card.
7. A Sovereign Nation of Shetland Automobile Competency card (if you already have a UK licence).
8. A Legal Fiction sheet to give to officials wanting to engage with you. These last 3 also come automatically).
9. If you do have any brush with 'authority', we have the documents to deal with that.

You get all this free with ongoing support just by joining as a friend of TSNS: www.sovereignshetland.com.

Most sites would be charging an arm and a leg for this stuff, but this is not a money-making site. This is too important to let money get in the way.

We are at your service to help you leave your life of crime and enter the real world!

Thank you.

Stuart and the TSNS team.

Click to Join.